

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,373	01/14/2002	Nang T. Tran	10303US02	6822
7590 12/05/2003		EXAMINER		
Attention: Eric D. Levinson			RACHUBA, MAURINA T	
Imation Corp. Legal Affairs			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 64898			3723	6
St. Paul, MN 55164-0898			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

2

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/047,373	TRAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	M Rachuba	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Se	eptember 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This a	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7,27 and 28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10,13-22 and 24-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,12 and 23</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						



Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 8-27 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 27-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 8, 9 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Suzuki et al, 5,015,430. Note especially column 3, lines 27-33, which states that the slitted tapes may be polished before calendaring. As the tapes are slit, and divided between two calendaring units, it is inherent that there must be two lapping units as well.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,373

Art Unit: 3723

- 6. Claims 10, 13-16, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki '430 in view of Schlatter et al, 5,658,618. '430 does not disclose wiping the first and second sets of strands with first and second wiping units. '618, figure 5, and column 3, lines 57-65 and column 4, lines 44-60, teach the use of a wiping unit, to clean the strands after processing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided '430 with wiping units after the lapping but before respooling, to prevent damage due to debris to the final product, as taught by '618, column 4, lines 44-60.
- 7. Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al '430 in view of Meihofer 4,159,808. '430 discloses everything claimed except that the rewind station includes independent tension control for each rewind roller, where the tension control includes magnetic clutch mechanisms. '808, figure 1, in a winding device for flexible webs, teaches the use of magnetic clutch mechanism to control the tension of the winding web on a roll. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided '430 with the tension control in the form of a magnetic clutch to each strand roller, as taught by '808, figure 1, and column 5, lines 51-63, to prevent undue stretching or slackness of the web during winding.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 11, 12 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.



Art Unit: 3723

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other similar methods are cited of interest.

10. Any inquiry concerning the content of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Rachuba whose telephone number is (703) 308-1361. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiries concerning other than the content of this and previous communications, such as missing references or filed papers not acknowledged, should be directed to the Customer Service Representative, Tech Center 3700, (703) 306-5648.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

M. RACHUBA PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 3723



mtr December 2, 2003